

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference JNR/PG4942			ent's file reference	FOR FURTHER A	CTION		n of Transmittal of International amination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
International application No. PCT/EP 03/10347				International filing date 15.09.2003	day/mont	hvyear)	Priority date (day/month/year) 17.09.2002
1	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61M15/00						
	licant AXO	GRO	UP LIMITED et al.				·
1.	This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.				rnational Preliminary Examining		
2.	This	REP	ORT consists of a total c	of 6 sheets, including th	is cover	sheet.	
		bee	s report is also accompar n amended and are the t e Rule 70.16 and Section	pasis for this report and	or shee	ts containing re	on, claims and/or drawings which have ectifications made before this Authority the PCT).
	The	se an	nexes consist of a total c	of sheets.			
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
	1	$\boxtimes$	Basis of the opinion				
	11		Priority				
	•		pinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
	IV ⊠ Lack of unity of inventior		•				
	V Massoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicabilicitations and explanations supporting such statement				ventive step or industrial applicability;		
	VI		Certain documents cite	ed			
	VII		Certain defects in the i	nternational application			
	VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date	Date of submission of the demand				Date of	completion of th	is report
22.0	22.03.2004				01.12.	2004	
Nam	Name and mailing address of the international				Authoria	zed Officer	_yes Primp_
preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465				nen, H one No. +49 89 2	2399-7442		

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/10347

I. Basis	of the	report
----------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Description, Pages				
	1-55		as originally filed		
	Cla	ims, Numbers			
	1-4	2	as originally filed		
	Dra	wings, Sheets			
			an originally filed		
	1/12	2-12/12	as originally filed		
2.			rage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this ternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this i		
	The	se elements were av	vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which	ch is:	
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under F	Rule 23.1(b)).	
		the language of publ	lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).		
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examinates.	ation (under	
3.	Witl inte	With regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:			
		contained in the inte	emational application in written form.		
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.		
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.			
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.				
		The statement that to in the international a	the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond application as filed has been furnished.	the disclosure	
		The statement that the listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the wished.	ritten sequence	
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description,	pages:		
		the claims,	Nos.:		
		the drawings,	sheets:		

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/10347

	5.		☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).				
			(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)				
	6.	Add	ditional observations, if necessary:				
	111.	. No	n-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
	1.		the questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- bvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
			the entire international application,				
		⊠	claims Nos. 29-32, 42				
			because:				
			the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
		⊠	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 29, 42 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
			see separate sheet				
			the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
		$\boxtimes$	no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 30-32				
•	2.	or a	neaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/ imino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative ructions:				
			the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.				
			the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.				
	IV.	Lac	k of unity of Invention				
	1.	In re	esponse to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees, the applicant has:				
			restricted the claims.				
			paid additional fees.				
			paid additional fees under protest.				
		Ø	neither restricted nor paid additional fees.				
	2.		This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.				
	3.	This	Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3				

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/10347

		complied with.					
	$\boxtimes$	not complied with for the following reasons:					
	see	see separate sheet					
1.		Consequently, the following parts of the international application were the subject of international preliminary examination in establishing this report:					
		all parts.	:				
	$\boxtimes$	the parts relating to claims No	os. 1-29	9, 33, 34, 40	0-42 .		
V.		Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
۱.	Stat	tatement					
	Nov	relty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-29,40-42 33,34		
	Inve	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-29,40-42 33,34		
	Indu	ustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-29,33,34,40-42		
≥.	Cita	tions and explanations			N		
	see	separate sheet					

### 1 Concerning Item IV

- It is clear already a priori that claims 1, 30 and 40 cannot be so linked as to form a single general inventive concept, because they are considered to relate to completely different methods, namely to a method of loading a housing for a medicament dispenser (claims 1 and 40) and to a method for making a preassembly for being used with the method of loading the housing (claim 30). As far as the arguments of the applicant in the letter of 22.01.04 are concerned, even though claim 30 has a common method step with claims 1 and 40, said method of claim 30 produces a preassembly which is used in the method of claim 1. Consequently, claim 30 is considered to relate to a different possible invention.
- 1.2 In addition, it is clear already a priori that claims 35 and 36 relate to completely different methods than claims 1, 30 and 40, because claims define a method of coiling a medicament carrier.
- 1.3 The independent product claim 33 is considered to relate to method claim 1.
- 1.4 In the light of the above, the present set of claims is a priori considered to relate to three different groups of possible inventions, said groups being covered by the following claims: (I) claims 1-29, 33, 34, 40-42; (ii) claims 30-32; and (iii) claims 35-39.
- The claims of group (ii) have not been searched. Furthermore, the applicant did not 1.5 pay the requested additional examination fees for the group (iii). Consequently, only the claims of group (I) have been examined in respect of Article 33(2)-(4) PCT.

#### 2 Concerning Item III

The product-by-process claims 29 and 42 are not considered to be allowable, because it appears that the skilled person cannot determine from the final product how it has been manufactured. For example, the skilled person cannot determine from a coiled medicament carrier if it has been coiled using especially the methods of claims 35 or 36 or another method yielding the same result. Therefore, claim 39 is not considered to define any technical features of the medicament carrier. A product-by- process claim is only the allowable when the technical effects of the process can be determined from the final product. In the light of the above, said claims do not meet the requirement of Article 6 PCT and cannot be examined in

**EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET** 

respect of Article 33(2)-(4) PCT.

### 3 Concerning Item V

- US-A-2002/053344 (D1, see Figs. 17, 18 and 20) discloses a housing for a 3.1 medicament dispenser being adapted for loading with a medicament carrier by a method of claim 1, the carrier having a form of an elongated strip (501) and having multiple medicament doses, said housing comprising a body defining a cavity (515) having an access port (see Fig. 20) for receipt of a medicament carrier provided thereto, and a closure (530a) as defined in claim 33. Moreover, the closure (530a) appears to be in the form of a door in hinged relationship with the body. Thus, the subject-matter of claim 33 does not appear to meet the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT. In addition, EP-A-0 467 172 (D2) appears to disclose a device which falls under the wording of claim 33.
- 3.2 None of the cited documents appears to disclose a method of loading a medicament carrier wherein a leader is first drivably anchored within the housing and the leader is used to move the medicament carrier into the housing. Thus, the subject-matters of claims 1 and 40 and their dependent claims 2-29, 41 and 42 appear to meet the requirements of Article 33(2) and (3) PCT.
- 3.3 The industrial applicability (Article 33(4) PCT) of a method and device according to the claims 1-29, 33, 34 and 40-42 is self-evident.